1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JORGE R. QUEZADA, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,) Case No. C 09-03670 JSW (NJV)
12	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER GRANTING (IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
13	ŕ) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO) COMPEL PRODUCTION OF
14	V.) MATERIALS RESPONSIVE TO) PLAINTIFF'S THIRD DOCUMENT
15	CON-WAY FREIGHT INC.,	inspection request
16	Defendants.	() [Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 37]
17		Hearing
18		Date: November 6, 2012 Time: 10:00 a.m.
19		Courtroom: Telephonic
20		
21	On November 6, 2012 at 10:00 a.m., the Court heard Plaintiff Jorge R. Quezada's motion to	
22	compel Defendant Con-way Freight, Inc. to produce certain materials in response to Plaintiff's	
23	Third Document Inspection Request. Lawrence R. Cagney of Westrup Klick, LLP appeared on	
24	behalf of Plaintiff and the Certified Class, Barrett K. Green and Erica Kelley, Littler Mendelson	
25	PC, appeared on behalf of Defendant Con-way Freight, Inc The Court, having considered the	
26	papers and arguments submitted, hereby rules as follows:	
27	1. Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED as to Requests Nos. 56, 57, 58, 61 & 62. Defendant is	
28	hereby ordered to conduct a reasonable and diligent search of its records for documents	
	IDDODOGEDI ODDED OD ANGRIJO DA DA DE ANGRES	WING IN DADT DE ADTENDED A MOTEON TO COLORS
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S THIRD DOCUMENT INSPECTION REQUEST	

1

2

responsive to such requests and to produce them to Plaintiff's counsel in electronic form no later than December 31, 2012. Plaintiff shall have until February 15, 2013 at 4:00 p.m. to review such production and to notify Defendant's counsel of any alleged deficiency regarding Defendant's production. The parties shall thereafter meet and confer with a view to resolving any disagreements concerning any alleged deficiency. If such efforts are unsuccessful, Plaintiff may so notify the Court and request a further hearing on the adequacy of Defendant's compliance with this Order.

- Plaintiff's motion is **DENIED** as to Requests Nos. 59 and 60. The Court is not persuaded, at this time, that Defendant's electronic access control records are sufficiently probative to justify the burden of requiring Defendant to produce them in light of Defendant's representation that drivers' Record of Duty Status log books are available for production. Therefore, the Court orders Defendant to produce a sample of its Records of Duty Status log book pages for the Class Members during the class period on or before December 31, 2012. The parties have agreed that such sample shall be co-extensive with the sample periods for linehaul drivers agreed upon by the parties in July 2011. The Court's denial of Plaintiff's motion as to this request is without prejudice to revisiting this issue upon a showing of good cause and without prejudice to further appropriate discovery by Plaintiff.
- 3. Plaintiff's motion is **GRANTED** as to Requests Nos. 64, 65 & 66. Defendant shall produce the information responsive to these requests in electronic form on or before December 31, 2012.

November 21, 2012 Dated:

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE